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Methodology

- Interview with 12 IPPs (Hydro:6, Biomass: 3, Biogas: 1, Solar/wind: 2)
- Interview with 3 local contractors
- Discussions with RE-related Government Officers (Province and Regency level)
- Discussions with local consultants and academics
- Reviewing local statistic reports and local news
- Reviewing local regulation, RAD-GRK, Planning documents
- Reviewing RE-related research papers and presentation materials
Electricity in Sumatera Utara

- Costumers (Households) : 3,222,604
  - PLN : 2,829,446
  - Non PLN : 78,873
- Ratio Electrification : 90.25%
  - PLN : 87.80%
  - Non PLN : 2.45%
- Deficit Power (Ave.) : 247 MW
### Fuel Mixed Sub-sistem Sumbagut (Sept 2014)

**Source:** PLN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energi Primer</th>
<th>Tahun 2014</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MFO</td>
<td>82,724.22</td>
<td>8.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSD</td>
<td>534,818.38</td>
<td>57.58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>205,856.05</td>
<td>22.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batu Bara</td>
<td>85,503.74</td>
<td>9.21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panas Bumi</td>
<td>712.37</td>
<td>0.08%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass</td>
<td>14,788.60</td>
<td>1.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>4,442.75</td>
<td>0.48%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**2014**

- **Air:** 22.16%
- **MFO:** 8.91%
- **HSD:** 57.58%

**2013**

- **HSD:** 56.10%
- **Batu Bara:** 7.40%
- **Panas Bumi:** 0.22%
- **GAS:** 0.87%
- **MFO:** 15.03%
### Present Conditions small scale Hydro in Sumatera Utara

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Capacity (MW)</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Capacity (MW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Operation</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20.00</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>78.20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.370</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PPA (Not yet FC)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>112.00</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>158.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Processing PPA</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>125.10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>147.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Proposal (Submitted)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>344.30</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>498.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Proposal (Rejected)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Resign</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>95</strong></td>
<td><strong>679.60</strong></td>
<td><strong>123</strong></td>
<td><strong>957.37</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Brief Summaries small scale hydro

- Only 4 IPPs have been operating (2 Pioneers)
- All of them has experienced on over design budget
- Typical problems:
  1. Long process of permitting
  2. Financial support
  3. Land acquisition
  4. Lack technical capability
- Developers want to be treated as a green technology partner for government
Technical Barriers

- Lack accuracy of data
- Preparation pre and full FS and DED need to be improved
- Access to relevant expertise are limited.
- Cost estimation and time implementation are bad
- It is realized lack of design and project preparation skills.
- Actual output and designs do not agree well
PLN related

- The main complaint from IPPs regarding PLN is grid availability.
- Grid connection cost is also a problem.
- Process need to be shorted and should be finish in province level.
Bio-energy IPP

Source: Greenpeace
Present conditions Bio-energy IPP

1. Excess Power
2. Palm Oil Biomass
3. POME Biogas
4. Municipal Waste
5. Agricultural & Forest Residues
6. Livestock Biogas

Operation stage
COD stage
Detailed FS and DED
Pre-FS
No-movement
Bio-energy IPP have signed PPA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>IPP Name</th>
<th>Capacity (MW)</th>
<th>Regency</th>
<th>COD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PTPN III Rambutan</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Serdang Bedagai</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PTPN III Sei Mangkei</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Simalungun</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PT Nubika Jaya</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>Labuhan Batu Selatan</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PT Victorindo Alam Lestari</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>Padang Lawas</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PT Harkat Sejahtera</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Simalungun</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PT Harkat Sejahtera</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Simalungun</td>
<td>2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>PT Canang Indah</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Medan</td>
<td>2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>PT United Kingdom Indonesia Plantations</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>Langkat</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>PT Sei Dapdap</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>Asahan</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>PT Global Green Energy Lestary</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>Langkat</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>PT Anugrah Langkat Makmur</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Langat</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>PT Lingga Tiga Sawit Palm Oil Mill</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Labuhan Batu</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>PT Perkebunan Milano</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>Labuhan Batu</td>
<td>2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>47.8</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bio-energy IPP:

- Palm Oil Plantation owned by government is expected as pioneer.
- Strong commitment of the authority is not there yet
- A success story is extremely needed
- Some cases, financial is not a problem
- Technical and construction capacity is low
- Strong EPC is needed
- Some IPP are traumatic dealing with PLN (not even tried)
Solar Energy IPP

Source: ecn.nl
General Summaries

- Developers have been waiting for the new solar energy FIT.
- PLN, on the other hand, feels the new FIT is too expensive.
- No supported loan from Bank is detected.
- The developers tend to joint with international partner (Generally producer of PV module).
- Preparation of pre and full FS and DED need to be supported.
Barriers

- There is no guidance yet in Bank or Financial institution for providing fund to Solar power plant project. In other words, solar power plant project is still unknown.
- Developers estimate total cost will be around USD 2.5M/MW (Expensive)
- Resource/site identification, data collections, pre and full FS are carried out by IPP. In other words, there is no consultant can be hired.
- In the solar energy case, up to now, there no significant resistant is found by developers. Government and local community fully support solar energy project.
Barriers

General experiences when dealing with PLN:

1. PLN seems do not want to purchase solar electricity in the on grid areas.
2. In the RE business PLN is too centralized. It should be provided, a desk for RE in the province level.
3. In the on grid area, it is stated that PLN grids do not support interconnection with PV.
Present ranking of RE IPP (August 2013)
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